

Smooth Bias Estimation for Multipath Mitigation Using Sparse Estimation - Supplementary materials

Julien LESOUPLE¹, Jean-Yves TOURNERET^{1,2}, Mohamed SAHMOUDI^{1,3},
Franck BARBIERO⁴ and Frédéric FAURIE⁵

¹TéSA, 7 Boulevard de la Gare, 31500 Toulouse, France

²ENSEEIH-IRIT, 2 Rue Camichel, 31071 Toulouse, France

³ISAE Supaero, 10 Avenue Edouard Belin, 31400, Toulouse, France

⁴CNES, 18 Avenue Edouard Belin, 31400, Toulouse, France

⁵M3 Systems, 26 Rue du Soleil Levant, 31410, Lavernose, France

April 26, 2018

Abstract

This document contains supplementary materials associated with the conference paper [1].

1 Introduction

The aim of the paper [1] was to introduce a smooth sparse estimation method of the multipath (MP) biases in Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) measurements (pseudoranges and Doppler). To do so, we supposed the receiver at time instant k has s_k satellites in view, and thus has access to $2s_k$ measurements (s_k pseudoranges and s_k pseudorange rates) which can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{m}_k + \mathbf{n}_k \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{y}_k = (y_{i,k})_{i=1,\dots,2s_k} \in \mathbb{R}^{2s_k}$ is a vector containing the differences between the measurements and their estimates, $\mathbf{H}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{2s_k \times 8}$ is the Jacobian matrix of the problem, and \mathbf{n}_k is an additive white Gaussian noise with covariance matrix \mathbf{R}_k . In the paper, it was proposed to solve the two problems

$$\arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s_k}} \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_2^2 + \lambda_k \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_1 + \mu_k \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1}\|_1 \quad (2)$$

and

$$\arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s_k}} \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_2^2 + \lambda_k \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_1 + \mu_k \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1}\|_2 \quad (3)$$

where

- $\mathbf{P}_k = \mathbf{H}_k (\mathbf{H}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k)^{-1} \mathbf{H}_k^T \in \mathbb{R}^{2s_k \times 2s_k}$ is projection matrix on the subspace spanned by \mathbf{H}_k
- $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k = (\mathbf{I}_{2s_k} - \mathbf{P}_k) \mathbf{y}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{2s_k}$ are the projected measurements on the orthogonal space of \mathbf{H}_k
- $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k = (\mathbf{I}_{2s_k} - \mathbf{P}_k) \mathbf{W}_k^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{2s_k \times 2s_k}$ is the weighted projection matrix on the orthogonal space of \mathbf{H}_k
- $\boldsymbol{\theta}_k = \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{m}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{2s_k}$ are the weighted multipath biases

- $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{2s_k}$ are the weighted multipath biases estimated at time instant $k - 1$
- $\mathbf{W}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{2s_k \times 2s_k}$ is the weighting matrix
- \mathcal{S}_k contains the set of satellites jointly visible at time instants k and $k - 1$
- $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1}\|_{1, \mathcal{S}_k} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}_k} |\theta_{i,k} - \hat{\theta}_{i,k-1}|$ is the ℓ_1 -norm of $\boldsymbol{\theta}_k - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1}$ restricted to the satellites presents at time instant $k - 1$ and k
- λ_k and μ_k are two regularization parameters.

Next section will present how to solve problems (2) and (3).

2 Solution of the ℓ_2 -smoothing regularization

For problem (3), one can define

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k = \text{diag}(i \in \mathcal{S}_k), \quad (4)$$

that is the diagonal matrix whose i -th entry is 1 if the corresponding satellite was visible at the previous time instant, and 0 if it was not, then the problem (3) can be rewritten

$$\arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s_k}} \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_2^2 + \lambda_k \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_1 + \mu_k \|\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k (\boldsymbol{\theta}_k - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1})\|_2. \quad (5)$$

Let's develop this equation, noticing that $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k^T = \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k^2 = \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s_k}} \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_2^2 + \lambda_k \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_1 + \mu_k \|\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k (\boldsymbol{\theta}_k - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1})\|_2^2 \\ \Leftrightarrow & \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s_k}} \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k)^T (\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k) + \lambda_k \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_1 + \frac{1}{2} 2\mu_k (\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k (\boldsymbol{\theta}_k - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1}))^T (\boldsymbol{\Delta}_k (\boldsymbol{\theta}_k - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1})) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s_k}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k - 2\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k + 2\mu_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k - 4\mu_k \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1}^T \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k \right) + \lambda_k \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_1 \\ \Leftrightarrow & \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s_k}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^T (\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k + 2\mu_k \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k) \boldsymbol{\theta}_k - 2(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k + 2\mu_k \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1}^T \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k) \boldsymbol{\theta}_k \right) + \lambda_k \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_1 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

define \mathbf{A}_k such that $\mathbf{A}_k^T \mathbf{A}_k = \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k + 2\mu_k \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k$ (which exists as $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k + 2\mu_k \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k$ has positive or null singular values given its expression), and assume the matrix \mathbf{A}_k is invertible¹, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s_k}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^T (\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k + 2\mu_k \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k) \boldsymbol{\theta}_k - 2(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k + 2\mu_k \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1}^T \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k) \boldsymbol{\theta}_k \right) + \lambda_k \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_1 \\ \Leftrightarrow & \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s_k}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^T \mathbf{A}_k^T \mathbf{A}_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k - 2(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k + 2\mu_k \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1}^T \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k) \mathbf{A}_k^{-1} \mathbf{A}_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k \right) + \lambda_k \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_1 \\ \Leftrightarrow & \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s_k}} \frac{1}{2} \|((\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k + 2\mu_k \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1}^T \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k) \mathbf{A}_k^{-1})^T - \mathbf{A}_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_2^2 + \lambda_k \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_1 \\ \Leftrightarrow & \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s_k}} \frac{1}{2} \|(\mathbf{A}_k^T)^{-1} (\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k + 2\mu_k \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1}) - \mathbf{A}_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_2^2 + \lambda_k \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_1 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where one can recognize a LASSO problem with measurements $(\mathbf{A}_k^T)^{-1} (\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k + 2\mu_k \boldsymbol{\Delta}_k \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1})$, matrix \mathbf{A}_k and unknown $\boldsymbol{\theta}_k$, and can use one of the method provided in [1] to solve it.

¹This has always been the case in our experiments, but if not one has to treat separately satellites that were visible at time instant $k - 1$ (as the matrix \mathbf{A}_k will be invertible for them as it consists in diagonal loading) and those who were not

3 Solution of the ℓ_2 -smoothing regularization

The problem to be solved is (2), namely

$$\arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{s_k}} \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_2^2 + \lambda_k \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|_1 + \mu_k \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k-1}\|_1.$$

First let's solve this problem when $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is of dimension 1. The problem becomes, where $\mu_k = 0$ if the corresponding satellite weren't visible at time instant $k-1$ and $\mu_k > 0$ if it were,

$$\arg \min_{\theta_k \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \theta_k\|_2^2 + \lambda_k |\theta_k| + \mu_k |\theta_k - \hat{\theta}_{k-1}| \quad (8)$$

whose generalized gradient is

$$\nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \theta_k^2 - 2\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \theta_k) \right) + \partial(\lambda_k |\theta_k|) + \partial(\mu_k |\theta_k - \hat{\theta}_{k-1}|) = \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \theta_k - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \lambda_k \partial(|\theta_k|) + \mu_k \partial(|\theta_k - \hat{\theta}_{k-1}|) \quad (9)$$

where

$$\partial(|x|) = \begin{cases} \text{sign}(x) & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ [-1, 1] & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}. \quad (10)$$

Hence there are $3^2 = 9$ according to what is inside each absolute value (< 0 , > 0 or $= 0$).

- If $\theta_k > 0$ and $\theta_k - \hat{\theta}_{k-1} > 0$

We have

$$\nabla = \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \theta_k - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \lambda_k + \mu_k \quad (11)$$

which equals 0 when

$$\theta_k^* = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k - \lambda_k - \mu_k}{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k} \quad (12)$$

and the assumptions ($\theta_k > 0$ and $\theta_k - \hat{\theta}_{k-1} > 0$) give

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k > \lambda_k + \mu_k \quad (13)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k > \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \hat{\theta}_{k-1} + \lambda_k + \mu_k. \quad (14)$$

- If $\theta_k > 0$ and $\theta_k - \hat{\theta}_{k-1} < 0$

We have

$$\nabla = \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \theta_k - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \lambda_k - \mu_k \quad (15)$$

which equals 0 when

$$\theta_k^* = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k - \lambda_k + \mu_k}{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k} \quad (16)$$

and the assumptions ($\theta_k > 0$ and $\theta_k - \hat{\theta}_{k-1} < 0$) give

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k > \lambda_k - \mu_k \quad (17)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k < \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \hat{\theta}_{k-1} + \lambda_k - \mu_k. \quad (18)$$

- If $\theta_k < 0$ and $\theta_k - \hat{\theta}_{k-1} > 0$

We have

$$\nabla = \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \theta_k - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k - \lambda_k + \mu_k \quad (19)$$

which equals 0 when

$$\theta_k^* = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \lambda_k - \mu_k}{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k} \quad (20)$$

and the assumptions ($\theta_k < 0$ and $\theta_k - \hat{\theta}_{k-1} > 0$) give

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k < -\lambda_k + \mu_k \quad (21)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k > \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \hat{\theta}_{k-1} - \lambda_k + \mu_k. \quad (22)$$

- If $\theta_k < 0$ and $\theta_k - \hat{\theta}_{k-1} < 0$

We have

$$\nabla = \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \theta_k - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k - \lambda_k - \mu_k \quad (23)$$

which equals 0 when

$$\theta_k^* = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \lambda_k + \mu_k}{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k} \quad (24)$$

and the assumptions ($\theta_k < 0$ and $\theta_k - \hat{\theta}_{k-1} < 0$) give

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k < -\lambda_k - \mu_k \quad (25)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k < \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \hat{\theta}_{k-1} - \lambda_k - \mu_k. \quad (26)$$

In cases where one of the absolute values is null, we can treat jointly the different signs of the other one

- If $\theta_k = 0$ and $\theta_k - \hat{\theta}_{k-1} \neq 0$

We have

$$\nabla = -\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \lambda_k [-1, 1] + \mu_k \text{sign}(-\hat{\theta}_{k-1}) \quad (27)$$

and we solve

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\in -\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \lambda_k [-1, 1] + \mu_k \text{sign}(-\hat{\theta}_{k-1}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \mu_k \text{sign}(\hat{\theta}_{k-1}) \in [-\lambda_k, \lambda_k] \\ &\Leftrightarrow |\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \mu_k \text{sign}(\hat{\theta}_{k-1})| \leq \lambda_k. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

- If $\theta_k \neq 0$ and $\theta_k - \hat{\theta}_{k-1} = 0$

We have $\theta_k = \hat{\theta}_{k-1}$ and

$$\nabla = \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \theta_k - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \lambda_k \text{sign}(\hat{\theta}_{k-1}) + \mu_k [-1, 1] \quad (29)$$

and we solve

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\in \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \theta_k - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \lambda_k \text{sign}(\hat{\theta}_{k-1}) + \mu_k [-1, 1] \\ &\Leftrightarrow -\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \theta_k + \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k - \lambda_k \text{sign}(\hat{\theta}_{k-1}) \in [-\mu_k, \mu_k] \\ &\Leftrightarrow |-\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \theta_k + \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k - \lambda_k \text{sign}(\hat{\theta}_{k-1})| \leq \mu_k. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Conditions	Solution
$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k > \lambda_k + \mu_k$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k > \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \hat{\theta}_{k-1} + \lambda_k + \mu_k$	$\theta_k^* = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k - \lambda_k - \mu_k}{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k}$
$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k > \lambda_k - \mu_k$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k < \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \hat{\theta}_{k-1} + \lambda_k - \mu_k$	$\theta_k^* = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k - \lambda_k + \mu_k}{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k}$
$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k < -\lambda_k + \mu_k$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k > \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \hat{\theta}_{k-1} - \lambda_k + \mu_k$	$\theta_k^* = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \lambda_k - \mu_k}{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k}$
$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k < -\lambda_k - \mu_k$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k < \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \hat{\theta}_{k-1} - \lambda_k - \mu_k$	$\theta_k^* = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \lambda_k + \mu_k}{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k}$
$ \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \mu_k \text{sign}(\hat{\theta}_{k-1}) \leq \lambda_k$ and $\hat{\theta}_{k-1} \neq 0$	$\theta_k^* = 0$
$ \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \theta_k + \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k - \lambda_k \text{sign}(\hat{\theta}_{k-1}) \leq \mu_k$ and $\hat{\theta}_{k-1} \neq 0$	$\theta_k^* = \hat{\theta}_{k-1}$
$ \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \leq \lambda_k + \mu_k$ and $\hat{\theta}_{k-1} = 0$	$\theta_k^* = 0$

Table 1: Solution of the 1D problem.

- If $\theta_k = 0$ and $\theta_k - \hat{\theta}_{k-1} = 0$

We have $\theta_k = \hat{\theta}_{k-1}$ and

$$\nabla = -\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \lambda_k[-1, 1] + \mu_k[-1, 1] \quad (31)$$

and we solve

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\in -\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \lambda_k[-1, 1] + \mu_k[-1, 1] \\ &\Leftrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \in [-(\lambda_k + \mu_k), \lambda_k + \mu_k] \\ &\Leftrightarrow |\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_k^T \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k| \leq \lambda_k + \mu_k. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

All these results are gathered in Table 1.

For the multidimensional case, one can apply the same strategy as done for the shooting algorithm in [2] and update each coordinate one at a time until convergence.

References

- [1] J. Lesouple, F. Barbiero, F. Faurie, M. Sahnoudi, and J.-Y. Tournet, “Smooth Bias Estimation for Multipath Mitigation Using Sparse Estimation,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. on Inf. Fusion (FUSION)*, Cambridge, UK, July 2018, pp. xx–xx.
- [2] G. V. Pendse, “A tutorial on the LASSO and the shooting algorithm,” *Harvard Medical School*, vol. 13, Feb. 2011.